UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,169	11/26/2003	Peter P. Altice JR.	M4065.0942/P942	. 5530	
²⁴⁹⁹⁸ DICKSTEIN SI	7590 02/23/2007 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER			
1825 EYE STREET NW			PHAM, LONG		
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D.	AYS	02/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)									
Office Action Commons	10/721,169	ALTICE ET AL.									
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit									
	Long Pham	2814									
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).									
Status											
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on											
	action is non-final.										
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan											
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.											
Disposition of Claims											
	•										
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.	. f										
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-60</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.											
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	la atian sa suisana ant										
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.										
Application Papers											
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.											
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).											
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119											
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).									
, ,-	have been received										
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 											
						application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
						* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)											
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date											
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application											
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)										
S. Patent and Trademark Office											

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

In response to the election dated 12/04/06, it is submitted there is no requiement for examiner to indicate which claims are generic to each species. Further, it is submitted that "Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention." And "Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.".

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: species I: embodiment of fig. 2; species II: embodiment of fig. 4; species III: embodiment of fig. 6; species IV: embodiment of fig. 7; species V: embodiment of fig. 9A-10B; and species VI: embodiment of fig. 12. The species are independent or distinct because they are nonobvious variants.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic claims 14, 29, 39, 40, and 41.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise

Application/Control Number: 10/721,169

Art Unit: 2814

require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to -- on -- to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Frid, 10am to 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,169 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lopg Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814